

Proof of SST=RSS+SSE

For a multivariate regression, suppose we have n observed variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n predicted by n observations of k -tuple explanatory variables. Let $x_{i,j}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ be the i -th observation of the j -th explanatory variable.

The predicting equation for y_i is given by

$$y_i = x_{i,1} \cdot \beta_1 + x_{i,2} \cdot \beta_2 \cdots + x_{i,k} \cdot \beta_k + 1 \cdot \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where ε_i is the i -th error term.

If we put everything in a matrix form, i.e., let $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{X} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,k} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ (vector/matrix will be written in bold)}$$

form), then we can get the predicting equation by

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

For the ordinary least squares estimation, we want to minimize sum of squared errors SSE, that is, the objective function is $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. If we substitute the above equation to the SSE formula, we get the target optimization problem represented by

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0} \{ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{Y} - (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \} \\ & = \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \end{aligned}$$

Okay, let's recall the first order partial derivative in a matrix form, you can expand and verify the rules below in its scalar form.

If \mathbf{W} is symmetric,

Rule #1: $(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X})' = \boldsymbol{\beta}, (\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})' = \mathbf{W}$

Rule #2: $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X})' = 2\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}$

In the special case for Rule #2 when $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}$, $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})' = 2\mathbf{X}$

Therefore, for this continuous function of SSE, the first order necessary optimality condition is given by $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})' = 0$, that is, by the chain rule,

$$2\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) = \mathbf{0}$$

Actually we can combine β_0 with the rest of k betas as $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{n \times (k+1)} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \parallel \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,k} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1x_{n,1} & \cdots & x_{n,k} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then the objective function can be re-}$$

written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\} \\ & = \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

The optimality condition now becomes

$$\mathbf{X}^T(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$$

Hence, the optimal $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ satisfies $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, thus we can get

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

where $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$ is called the left pseudo inverse of \mathbf{X} .

Note that for a simple regression (one explanatory variable), above reduces to

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\text{var}(x)}$$

To see this, we write out the variables in their explicit form.

$$\mathbf{X}_{n \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We get

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Bear in mind that we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

We can get

$$\beta_1 = \frac{n \sum x_i y_i - \sum x_i \cdot \sum y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - \sum x_i \cdot \sum x_i} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\text{var}(x)}$$

We now focus on proving

$$SST = RSS + SSE$$

The total sum of squares (SST) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 &= (\mathbf{Y} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}) \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - 2\mathbf{Y}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of squared errors (SSE), a.k.a. sum of squared residuals (SSR), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 &= (\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}) \\
&= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\
&= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}
\end{aligned}$$

The regression sum of squares (RSS), a.k.a. explained sum of squares (ESS), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 &= (\hat{\mathbf{Y}} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}})^T (\hat{\mathbf{Y}} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}})^T (\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}) \\
&= \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & SST - RSS - SSE \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - 2\mathbf{Y}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \bar{\mathbf{Y}}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} + 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - 2\mathbf{Y}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} + \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that

$$2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - 2\mathbf{Y}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} = 0$$

to get $SST = RSS + SSE$.

We may ask is this true in general??? No! But we do have assumptions when we conduct OLS regression.

Remember the moment restriction for a simple linear OLS regression.

$$\diamond E(y - b_0 - b_1 x) = 0$$

$$\diamond E[x(y - b_0 - b_1 x)] = 0$$

The expected value of the error term should be zero and the error term should be uncorrelated with the explanatory variables.

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{Y}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} = -(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} = -\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \bar{\mathbf{Y}} = -\bar{y} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{e} = 0$$

$$\text{where } \mathbf{e}_{n \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the assumption that the expected value of the residual term is zero is violated, then

$$\mathbf{SST} \neq \mathbf{RSS} + \mathbf{SSE}$$

Classical [assumptions](#) for regression analysis include:

- The sample is representative of the population for the inference prediction.
- The error is a [random variable](#) with a mean of zero conditional on the explanatory variables.
- The independent variables are measured with no error. (Note: If this is not so, modeling may be done instead using [errors-in-variables model](#) techniques).
- The predictors are [linearly independent](#), i.e. it is not possible to express any predictor as a linear combination of the others.
- The errors are [uncorrelated](#), that is, the [variance-covariance matrix](#) of the errors is [diagonal](#) and each non-zero element is the variance of the error.
- The variance of the error is constant across observations ([homoscedasticity](#)). If not, [weighted least squares](#) or other methods might instead be used.

Reference

Matrix Calculus in Wikipedia @ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_calculus

CFA print curriculum Level 2, 2014

ESS in Wikipedia@ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Explained_sum_of_squares